G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906.

(Mulgrai)

File No. 3 of 1906.

Serial Nos. 1—13

Papers Filed.

Month December 1907

SUBJECT.

Declines to re-transfer the land as Inam to the temple of Sri Durga Devi at Anavetti in the Shimoga Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

In re the transfer of certain lands alleged to belong to the Sri Durga Devi temple at Anavetti to the name of Ganesha Rao.

From

The Dy. Commr. Shimoga Dist.

No. 235/37 Dated 21st August 1937.

The residents of Anavetti in the Sorab Taluk memorialized H. H the maharaja, complaining that the Khata of certain lands endowed to the Sri Durga Devi temple at the place had been transferred to the name of one Ganesha Rao. The memorial which was referred to this office for disposal was sent for report to the Dy. Commr. of Shimoga Dist. That officer he* that from the old chittah and other previous to the Rev. Survey settlement of 1871-2, it would appear that

*(Paper under disposal)

land in question was a part of the Warg standing in the name of Shanbhogue Narayanappa; and that a cash grant of Rs 4-4-1 was being made to the temple out of the assessment of the Warg. During the Survey, the land was made a separate number, and the Khata registered in the name of the temple as Inam. But the Inam Settlement in 1875-76, the was converted into Govt. land and a cash grant of Rs 2-2-0 was - tioned to the temple, the Khata continuing in the name of the temple. He further reports that on the Complaint of Ganesha Rao son of the said Narayana-appa in 1883-4, the Khata of the land was transferred to his name, on the ground that it was in his enjoyment for a long time and that the temple had a cash grant. He adds that the order sanctioning the transfer of the Khata with connected papers is not at present forthcoming. The Inam Supdt was thereupon requested to furnish an extract

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

of the Inam Register pertaining to the land in question and from the register submitted by him, it is observed that the land in question is Devadaya in the enjoyment of Ganesha Rao on payment of the Inam amount to Pujari Venkanna. It has been confirmed on a combined quit-rent of Rs 11/- so long as services are performed. A cash inam of Rs 2-2-0 was also confirmed to the temple. ~~Submitted~~ The land measures 2 acres and 19 guntas.

Submitted with a draft.

16/10/06

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note continued.

Slip A) The draft approved by the Secretary was accordingly issued. It was observed therein that although the khata of the land in question was ordered to be transferred to the name of Ganesha Rao in 1883, the land was being treated as a Devadaya Inam to the Sri Durga Devi temple at Anevatti as shown in the extracts of the Inam Register. The Deputy Commr was therefore asked to report whether Ganesha Rao had been paying anything to the temple, and if not, why he should be allowed to continue in enjoyment of it gratis, and also whether it was not reasonable to re-transfer the khata of the land to the name of the instn. The Deputy Commissioner has submitted

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

a detailed report thereon together with the Assistant Commr's. English letter and the Vernacular records bearing on the subject.

It is observed from the Vernacular papers that Ganesha Rao, Khatedar of the land is dead and his 2 sons state that the land has been in the enjoyment of ~~his~~ their family for a long time, that the deposition already given by ~~his~~ their father goes to show that the circumstances under which it came to ~~their~~ possession, that they have not been paying anything to the temple for the land and that they are not willing to do so in future. (Slip B

Ganesha Rao has deposed on the 30th August 1903 that

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

The land in question was originally in the Khata of his father Narnappa and that at the Inam Settlement, the land became the subject of an order on account of a Chowramanya in favor of Durga Devi of Anevatti which was included in it.

The Assistant Commr reports that as the Khatedar and Inamdar were different, it was ordered that a Cash payment of Rs 2-2-0 should be made to the temple and the land treated as Govt land on full assessment of Rs 1/-. He further states that in pursuance of this order, the Khata of the land was entered in the name of the Durga Devi losing sight of the fact that originally the Khatedar was Ganesha Rao

that in spite of this order, the latter continued to be in possession of the land; that he took measures to get the mistake rectified; that on a petition from him, enquiry was instituted by the Deputy Commissioner with the result that the Khate was changed from the name of Durga Devi to that of (Slip c)
Ganesha Rao. That officer further observes that the settlement of Cash inam instead of the bhoomanya at the Inam Settlement in favor of the temple indicates that the land is no longer Devadaya Inam as it has been fully assessed and that why the Inam Register shows its as Devadaya is not clear

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

and that therefore a reference should be made to the Inam Department.

(Paper under disposal) The Deputy Commissioner reports that the Inam settlement of 1874 was altered by the order of 1883, and that the land has been shown in the village accounts subsequent to 1883 as Govt. assessed occupied land, and that the revised Quit-rent register of the Sorab Taluk received from the Inam Deptt in 1889 makes no mention of this number as Inam to Durga Devi. He is of opinion that as the Survey number has been treated as Govt. fully assessed land, there is no reason why the Khatedar should be called upon to pay anything to the temple or why the Khata should be transferred to the name of the

temple so long as the Khatedar pays the full assessment.

As regards the missing memorial, he reports that the Acting Head Munshi to whom it was referred has been fined As 8/ for misplacing it. Another petition on the subject has also been received from the residents of Anevalli.

Submitted.
No: 4989 d/25/4/07 Regd. No. 429/1906-1907

Forwarded respectfully to the Superintendent of Survey Settlements in Mysore for further opinion as to whether the temple can reasonably claim the restitution of the Khalé of the land and the payment of a certain amount by the enjoyer of the land.

By order:
C. Ramachandra Rao
Secretary, Muzrai Dept.

25/4/07

10

Page ( )

Muz 84]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

N. O. No 4.

There can in my opinion be no possible doubt that, whatever be the error of the Inam Dept in showing it in the first instance as Devadaya, the Inam is a cash grant and has been rightly treated as such

6/5/07. D. S.

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:—

Under the circumstances explained by the Deputy Commissioner and the Inam Superintendent, it does not seem possible to re-transfer the land as inam to the temple which appears to have been fully recompensed by the cash grant of Rs 2. 2-0

Submitted to the Dewan through the II Councillor.

Mysore Government Secretariat 5117 11.5.07

Submd

Re Reports 9/5/07

no 473.

Subd to the Dy for perusal of the Dewan's Order 1/8/07

1/8/07

1/8/07

Read 1/8/07 Dft Sanctioned 1/8/07 Issued 10/8/07

27/5/07

4984 31.5.07

1/8/07

27th May 1907.

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Mazi 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

1[illegible] Sdl

A.V.

Office of the Private Secretary to

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Dated Mysore 21st October 1905.

No. 802/2

Petition as shewn below, to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore ~~is~~ or are transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for disposal ~~or report.~~

By Order,

Sd) E, Maconochie. I. C .S

Private Secretary to His

Hisghness the Maharaja of

Mysore.

No. 578 1905-06.

Sl 2

[Muz. 1 A.]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy Commr.
Shimoga Dist.

Dated

Despatched 4 . 11 . 05

Received

General No. 2116
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1

Has the honor to forward herewith, for favor of enquiry and report, petition no: 1800/578 of 10th octr 1905 addressed to H. H. the Maharaja by the residents of Anevalli, Sorab taluk,

~~The petrs~~ complaining that the khata of certain lands endowed to the Sri Durga devi in Anevalli Kasba, has transferred to the name

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000—19-7 05.

Ganesha Rao.

the temple is consequently badly
in need of repairs; and
the cessation of puja and other
services therein is ominous to them.

They pray that the lands
in question may be resumed
and restored to the institn.

By order.

4/11/05
Secretary.

No. 2119

Dupt to the petrs.

1/11/05

Refer.

No. 172
11.7.06

813

1906-07.

From B. Narain Rão Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

To L. Anantaswamy Rão Esqr.
Secy to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 11th July 1906.
Despatched
Received

General No. 54
No. 9
Enclosure No. Record

With reference to his no.
2118 of 4th November
1905 I submit report
on the petition from the
residents of Anavatti
Sorab Taluk regarding
the transfer of the Rathe
of certain lands endowed
by Sri Durga Devi at
Anavatti.

Shemoga District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Dated 11th July 1906.

No. 54/9 Sukkeerd

From. M. Narain Rao Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

To, L. Anantaswamy Rao Esqre B. A.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Inspn Department

Sir,

With reference to yr no 2118 of 6th November 1905 forwarding for report a petition from the residents of Anavatti Sorab Taluk regarding the transfer of the Kathe of certain lands endowed to Sri Durga Devi of the place to the name of Ganesh Rao, I have the honour to state as follows:-

It would appear from the old chitta and other accounts previous to the Revenue Survey settlement of 1871-72 that the land in question was a part of the Wng standing in the name of Shanbogue Narayanappa, and that a cash grant of Rs 4-4-1 was

was being made to the temple out of the assessment of the Wang. During the Survey, the land was made into Survey No 16 2 measuring 4 acres 17 guntas assessed at Rs 13 and the Kathe registered in the name of the temple as Inam. But at the Inam settlement in 1875-76 the number was converted into Government land and a cash grant of Rs 2-2-0 was sanctioned to the temple, the Kathe continuing in the name of the temple.

2. On the complaint of Ganesh Rao son of the above named Narayanappa in 1883-84 the Kathe of the land was transferred to his name on the ground that it was in his enjoyment for a long time and that the temple had a cash grant. The order sanctioning the transfer of the Khathe with connected papers is not at present forthcoming but a search is being made therefor

3. The original patteen of the inhabitants of Anavatti received

Your memo under reply has also been misplaced in the Taluk Office. It will be forwarded to you when found.

3. It is reported that Puja is being regularly conducted in the Sri Durga Devi temple and that the cash grant of Rs 2-2-0 is being paid to the Pujaris on the production of a certificate from the villagers to the effect that the Puja is conducted regularly.

4. The records connected with the case received with Sagar Assistant Commissioner's No. 15 of the 6th instant are herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt Servant.
Murarirao
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

JSN

SL 4

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1[illegible] - 1[illegible]

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore

Dated 26th
Despatched July 1906.

Received

General No. 743
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request
that ~~Inam Settlement~~ papers
~~relating to the commutation or~~
~~regarding the transfer of certain~~
~~lands granted to~~ the Sri Durga
Devi temple at Anavatti in
the Sorab Taluk, Mysore
Kindly caused to be forwarded
to this Office for reference
The land is in the
S. O.
Anavatti village

26th July 1906
Secretary

Rs
24/7/06

into Govt. land,
S. no 142, the Kanuli of
which stood in
the name of

GPB—2,000—7-2-06

515

MURAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Murai Department.

To

The Dy. Commissioner &
Shimoga Dist

Dated 26th
Despatched Jn 8/1906.
Received
General No. 744
Murai F. No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to his letter
No 54/9 dtd Jn 8/1906 reporting
on the petition of the residents
of Anavatti re the transfer of
the Khata of certain lands
endowed to the Sri Durga Devi
temple at Anavatti, has the
honor to request that the original
Petition of the residents of
Anavatti and the order
sanctioning the transfer
of

Name of one Gumma Rao has the

GPB—2,000—7-2-06

of the Khata of the land in question may be caused to be forwarded to this office at an early date

25/7/46

23/7/46

HC -

S16



1906-07

Pf Submits

From M. Narain Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To L. Anantharaswami Rao Esquire
Secretary to the Government
Mazrai Department

Dated 21st
Despatched
Received } August 1906.

General No. 235
No. 37

Enclosure No. / file

Referring to your docket No. 744 of 26th July last submits the vernacular Records Containing Order dated 12th June 1883.

1906-07.

No. 235/37 En file

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Shimoga District
Dated 21st August 1906.

From,

M. Narain Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To,

L. Anantaswami Rao Esquire,
Secretary to Government
Munrai Department.

Sir,

Referring to your docket No. 744 of 26th July last, I have the honor to submit herewith the vernacular records containing the Order No. 279 of 12th June 1883 passed by the then Deputy Commissioner sanctioning the transfer of the Khata of the land bearing Survey No. 142 endowed to the temple of Sri Durga Devi at Anvatti to one Ganesha Rao.

2. The Assistant Commissioner, Sagar Dn. reports that the original petition of the Inhabitants of Anvatti in Sorab Taluq

received with your memorandum No. 2118 of 4th November 1905 is not traceable in the Sorab Taluq Office. Another search is being made for it.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District

Sir

Draft for approval

517

Mad 1 ·]

190

From

To

The Dy Commr

Shimoga Dist

Dated

Despatched 22. X. 06

Received

General No. 2141

No.

Enclosure No 1 + 1 file

Adverting to correspondence ending with his letter no. 235/37 dy 21st August 1906, reporting upon the lands endowed to the temple of Sri Durga Devi at Anávatti in Hu-Sub-Taluk, has the honour to observe that ~~the land in question was~~ ~~becn to~~

The Rev Settlement of 1871-2
a part of the Warg
standing in the name of
Shanbhogue Narayanappa,
and that a cash grant
was being given for the
up-keep of the temple
out of the assessment of
the Warg; but subsequently
the land was resumed to
Govt, a cash grant of Rs 2-2-0
being fixed for payment
to the temple; and further
The ~~Kharte~~ of ~~the land~~
was transferred by the
Dy. Comrs. To the name
of Ganesha ~~Rao~~ son of
the said Narayanappa.
~~From~~ The extract of the
Inam Register (which is
sent herewith for ref. and
kind return)) ~~it is observed~~

although the
Kharte of the
land in question
ordered to be
was transferred
to the name of
Ganesa Rao in 1883
by the then Dy. Comrs.
still being
the land is limited
as Devadaya Inam,
~~subject~~ subject-
to the condition
of being continued
as long as the
institution is kept-
in
good order and
services rendered therein
(vide copy of
the

~~The services are p~~

2) The Dy Commr. is requested to report whether Ganesha Rao has been paying anything to the temple in the shape of grain or cash in consideration of ~~the~~ enjoyment of the Inam land which he has been enjoying free of rent. If not, why he should be allowed to continue in the enjoyment of it rent-free. He should also be requested whether it is reasonable to retain upon the Khata of the land in the name of the ~~Inam~~ temple.

3) It is requested that another ~~careful~~ search may ~~kindly~~ be caused to be made to find out the memorial

~~It is directed that in this office.~~

It is directed that such an important paper should be lost

3) [illegible] Vera.

Dilworth returned for ref.

re-submission.

By order,

Secretary.

16/10/06



518

1906

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Dated 7th
Despatched 8th } August 1906
Received

General No. 25
No.

Enclosure No. One

His docket No. 743 dated 26th July 1906.

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that at the Inam Settlement, the land Inam was Converted into Cash grant and registered accordingly. A Copy of the Inam Register relating to it is enclosed.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

1906-07 SG

Revenue
1978
19/10/06

From M. Narasimha Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esqr
Secretary to Government
Muzarai Department.

Dated 15th October 1906.

Despatched

Received

General No. 691
No. 67

Enclosure No. 1

Vide Docket- No 2141
dated the 20th Oct 1906

Ps
23/10/06

In continuation of this
Office No 735 of 21st August 06
forwards 37 copys of petition
by the inhabitants of
Anavatti, Sorab Taluk.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District
Dated 15th October 1906.

No. 421/67 Rd.

From,

M. Narasinga Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To, L. Ananta Sami Rao Esquire
Secretary to Government
Mulgavai Department.

Sir, In continuation of this office letter No. 235/37 of 21st August 1906, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the petition by the inhabitants of Anavatti in the Sorab Taluk received from the Assistant Commissioner Sagar Group of Taluks as the original petition received with your memorandum No. 2118 of 4th November 1905 is reported to have not yet been traced in the Taluk Kutcheri at Sorab notwithstanding the search that was made in the office.

I have the honour to be
Sir.
Your most obedient Servt.

for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Sl 10

1907

From M. Narain Rao Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To L. Anantaswami Rao Esq. B.A.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.
Mysore Dept.

Dated 22d March 1907

Despatched

Received

General No. 841

No. 154

Enclosure No. 1 & file

In reply to his No 2141 of the 22d October 1906 on the subject of transfer of Survey No 142 registered as Inam to Durga Devi at Anvatti forwards copy of Sayer Asst Comm's No 481 of 5th March 1907.

Hc

Refers

L 3

2 1

23/3/07

AW

Please return the file note

W

Submitted

AW

No. 841 Rel-
134

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District
22d March 1907

From
M. Narain Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To
L. Anantaswami Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Mugrovy Department.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 2141 of the 22d October 1906 and subsequent reminders on the subject of the transfer of Survey No 142 which had been registered as Inam to the temple of Sri Durgadevi at Anvatti in the Sorab Taluk to the Khate of Ganesha Rao, I have the honour to submit herewith Copy of letter No 481 of the 5th March 1907 from the Assistant Commissioner, Sagar Sub Division, and to state that the Inam Settlement of 1874 was altered by the order of 1883 and the land has been shewn in the village accounts subsequent to 1883

as Government assessed occupied land.. The revised Quit rent Register of the Sorab Taluk received from the Inam Department in 1889 makes no mention of this number as Inam to Durgadevi. The land having been treated as Government and fully assessed there is no reason why the Khatedar should be called upon to pay anything to the temple or why the Khate be transferred to the name of the temple so long as the Khatedar pays the full assessment.

2. The Assistant Commissioner Sagar Sub Division reports that the original petition of the inhabitants of Awatti in Sorab Taluk addressed to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore received with your official Memorandum No. 2118 of 4th November 1905 could not be traced out in the Sorab Taluk Kacheri. Acting Head Munshi Sanjiva Murthi to whom the petition was

referred, has been fined Rs five for mislaying it.

3. The vernacular records together with the copy of the extract of the Inam Register, received with your docket No 2141 of 22d October 1906, are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servt

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Encl

1907

From N. Subba Rao Esq. B.A.
Assistant Commissioner
Sagar Sub Division

To M. Narain Rao Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Dated 5th March 1907

No 481 Enl file.

Sir,

Reference.

Your docket No 1171 dated 8th November 1906 forwarding copy of Mysore Secretary's Memo No 2141/Mug 7. dated 24 October 1906 in re the Inam land of Durga Devi at Anvatti in the Sorab Taluk.

I have the honour to state that Ganesh Rao, Khatedar of the land survey No 142 of Anvatti under reference is now dead and his sons Narasinga Rao and Narayan Rao who are enjoying the land at present state that they have not been paying anything in the

of money or kind to the temple for the land and that they are also not willing to do so in future.

From the statement of deceased Ganesh Rao recorded on 30th August 1905 by the Shekdar of Auvatti (Vide No 16/1-5 in the Kanaresse file of records herewith sent) it would appear that the land in question was originally in the Khate of his father Naranappa, and at the Inam settlement the land became the subject of an order on account of a Chowranaya of Rs 4-4-1 in favour of Durgadevi of Auvatti which was included in it, and then it was ordered that, as the Khatedar and Inamdar were different a cash payment of Rs 2-2-4 should be made to the temple and the land treated as Government land on full assessment of Rs 14.

In pursuance of this order the Khate of the land was entered in the

name of Durgadevi losing sight of the fact that originally the Khatedar was Ganesh Rao. This did not in any interfere with the actual possession of the land by Ganesh Rao, who however took measures to get the mistake corrected. On his petition, an enquiry was instituted in 1883 with the result that the Khate was changed from the name of Durga devaru to that of Ganesh Rao (Vide Deputy Commissioner's order No 279 dated 12 June 1883 in the enclosed file) as it was clear that Ganesh Rao was rightly entitled to the land.

There is nothing beyond the conflicting statements of the Shanbogue and some others of Auvatti, to shew clearly that either Ganesh Rao or his father was paying anything to the temple for the land they have been enjoying.

The Settlement of Cash Inam instead of the Chovamanya at the Inam settlement in favour of the temple indicates that the land is no longer Devadaya Inam as it has been assessed, as all Government lands with full wet rate. But why the Inam settlement Register shews it as such is not clear. I would therefore request that a reference may be made to the Inam

Commissioner in the matter.

The Katedar and also his sons who now enjoy the land allege that as it is Government land bearing full assessment and as it has been in their enjoyment for several years they cannot now be fairly dispossessed of it; nor can they be directed to pay any thing in cash or kind to the temple for the land.

The extract from the Inam Register with the file of vernacular papers received with the letter under reply and also Hilla No 1286 dated 20th February 1907 from the Sorab Huildar with enclosures are herewith submitted. The original petition addressed to His Highness the Maharaja by the residents of Awatti has not been traced out in the Sorab Taluk.

I have &c.

Sd/ N. Subba Rao
Assistant Commissioner
Sagar Subdn

"Copy"

M Narain Rao

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist

Draft as per Dewan's Minute SH 11

12/8

Read,

Petition no 1800/548 dated 10th October 1905, addressed to H H the Maharaja by the residents of Anevatte in the Sorab Taluk complaining that the Khata of certain lands endowed to the Sri Durga Devi temple in Anevatte Kasba has been transferred to the name of one Ganesha Rao.

Correspondence ending with letter no 841/134 dated 22nd March 1904, from the Deputy Commr, Shimoga Distt reporting on the above

Unofficial memo no. 4 Dy 6th May 1904 from the Supdt of Inam Settlements in Mysore furnishing his opinion on the subject.

No 887-88/14.8.07 Ellefle

Order thereon no. Dy

The residents of Anenatti in the Sorab Taluk complain in their memorial read above, that the Khata of the land bearing S. no. 142 in Anenatti Kasba standing in the name of Sri Durga Devi at Anenatti has been unauthorizedly transferred to the name of one Ganesha Rao. The Dy Commr of the Shimoga Distt to whom the memorial was referred for report, states in the correspondence ending with the letter read above, that from the Old Chittah and other accounts previous to the Revs. Survey settlement of 1841-2, it would appear that the land in question was a part of the Warg standing in the name of Shanbhogue Narayanappa; that a cash grant of Rs 4-4-1 was being made to the temple out of the assessment of the Warg and that during the Survey, the land was a Survey number

and the Khata registered in the name of the temple as Inam. He further reports that at the Inam Settlement of 1845 6, the number was converted into Sont land and a cash grant of Rs 2-2-0 was sanctioned to the temple, the Khata ~~was~~ continuing in the name of the temple, and that on the complaint of Ganesha Rao Son of the late Narayanappa in 1883-4, the Khata of the land was transferred to his name on the ground that it was in his enjoyment for a long time and that the temple was in the enjoyment of a cash tasdik. On a communication from this office as to whether the temple has any hold on ~~temple~~ land in question, that officer further reports the Inam 1874 was altered

of 1883, that the land has been shown in the Village accounts subsequent to 1883, as Govt. assessed occupied land, that the revised Quit-rent register of the Sorab Taluk makes no mention of this number as Inam to the Sri Durga Devi temple and he is of opinion that as the Survey number in question has been treated as Govt fully assessed land, there is no reason why the Khatedar should be called upon to pay anything to the temple or why the Khata should be transferred to the name of the temple so long as the Khatedar pays the full assessment imposed thereon.

2. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements who was consulted on the subject, reports in his unofficial memo read above, that

there can in his opinion be no possible doubt that, — whatever be the error of Inam Dept in showing it in the first instance as Devadaya, the Inam is a — Cash grant and has been rightly treated as such.

3. Under the circumstances explained by the Deputy — Commr and the Inam Supdt the Govt are pleased to direct that it does not seem possible to re-transfer the land as Inam to the temple which appears to have been fully recompensed by the Cash grant of Rs 2-2-0 referred to above. The memorialists should be informed accordingly.

Dewan's order 10/8/07

AV 8/8/07.

10/8/07 Seen

To, the Dy. Commr. Shim
returning the vern-records

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

ఆ ఇంటి గోడలు చాలా బలంగా ఉన్నాయి. ఎందుకంటే
ఆ ఇంటి గోడలు రాళ్ళతో కట్టబడ్డాయి. ఆ ఇంటి గోడలు
రాళ్ళతో కట్టబడ్డాయి. ఆ ఇంటి గోడలు రాళ్ళతో
కట్టబడ్డాయి. ఆ ఇంటి గోడలు రాళ్ళతో కట్టబడ్డాయి.
ఆ ఇంటి గోడలు రాళ్ళతో కట్టబడ్డాయి. ఆ ఇంటి
గోడలు రాళ్ళతో కట్టబడ్డాయి. ఆ ఇంటి గోడలు
రాళ్ళతో కట్టబడ్డాయి. ఆ ఇంటి గోడలు రాళ్ళతో
కట్టబడ్డాయి. ఆ ఇంటి గోడలు రాళ్ళతో కట్టబడ్డాయి.

No. 2722 dt. 1/11/05

Endorsement granted to Subba Lohar and certain of Anevatti in the Sorab Taluk with reference to their petition registered no 1596 dated 5th October 1904 complaining that the Kharab of certain lands endowed to the Sri Durga Devi temple at Anevatti has been transferred to the name of One Ganesha Rao.

In reply, the petitioners are informed that orders of Govt. have been passed directing that it is not possible to re-transfer the land as Inam to the Sri Durga Devi temple at Anevatti, which appears to have been fully recom by the Cash- grevo

By order

Secretary

No. 2793

Copy to the Dy Co

Shimoga Distt in continuation

of Govt Ctr no 8841-8

dated 11th August 1917

By order

Secretary.